

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/016,826		SCHWERTNER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
Maureen M. Wallenhorst		1743		

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Fredric Sinder (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A minor change in claim 3 was agreed upon so that Figure 4 provides proper antecedent basis for the numerical range recited in part b) of claim 3. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)